

EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **UNITED STATE Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. **FILING DATE** APPLICATION NO.

09/002,944

01/05/98

WUGOFSKI

Т 450.208US1

EXAMINER TM11/1019

SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER & KLUTH PO BOX 2938

MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

BUI,K PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

2611

DATE MAILED:

10/19/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/002,944

Wugoftski et al.

Examiner

"Krista" Kieu-Oanh Bui

Group Art Unit 2711



	41 M M (M) CR (R) (M (12) 7 R 8 M (1) 1 1
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) X expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	• .
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or with period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	hin any
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Oct 10, 2000</u> has been considered with the followur is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	wing effect,
X The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
💢 will not be entered because:	
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal.	; the
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: The amendment to claims 1. 6. 15. 17. 19. 20 change the scope of the claims and introduces limitat	
have not previously consider. For example, the scheduled internet channel has not been previously new search and further consideration is the scheduled internet channel has not been previously new search and further consideration is	consider. A
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
☐ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if sul	hmitted in a
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if subseparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	Jillitted III a
☐ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in c for allowance because:	ondition
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly rai Examiner in the final rejection.	sed by the
For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed:	
Claims objected to:	
Claims rejected: 1-20	
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ☐ ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the Ex	caminer.
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
Other ANDREW I. FAILE CUREPLUSORY PATENT EXAMINER	i.

GROUP 2700
Part of Paper No. __

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 8-95)